UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON TACOMA DIVISION

JOHN DOE #1, an individual, JOHN DOE #2, an individual, and PROTECT MARRIAGE WASHINGTON,

Plaintiffs.

VS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SAM REED, in his official capacity as Secretary of State of Washington, BRENDA GALARZA, in her official capacity as Public Records Officer for the Secretary of State of Washington,

Defendants.

No. 3:09-CV-05456-BHS

Request to Issue Order with Respect to the Alternative Ground Set Forth in Plaintiffs' Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction

The Honorable Benjamin H. Settle

On July 28, 2009, Plaintiffs John Doe #1, John Doe #2, and Protect Marriage Washington filed a complaint for declaratory and injunction relief, alleging that the Public Records Act is unconstitutional as applied to referendum petitions, or, in the alternative, as applied to Referendum 71 because there is a reasonable probability of threats, harassment, and reprisals. (Dkt. 2 at 10:61-66.) On July 28, 2009, Plaintiffs submitted a motion for temporary restraining order, setting forth two independent and legally sufficient grounds for the issuance of a temporary retraining order and preliminary injunction. (Dkt. 3 at 9-18 (as-applied challenge to the Public Records Act with respect to all referendum petitions) and 18-25 (as-applied challenge

1

Request to Issue Order re: Alt. Ground Set Forth in Plaintiffs' Mot. for TRO and Prelim. Inj. (No. 3:09-CV-05456-BHS) BOPP, COLESON & BOSTROM 1 South Sixth Street Terre Haute, Indiana 47807-3510 (812) 232-2434 to the Public Records Act with respect to R-71 because there is a reasonable probability of threats, harassment, and reprisals).) On July 29, 2009, the Court entered a temporary restraining order preventing the release of the names, issued a briefing schedule with respect to the motion for preliminary injunction, and scheduled a preliminary injunction hearing for September 3, 2009. (Dkt. 9 at 3.)

On September 3, 2009, the Court amended the TRO to allow Defendant Intervenor Washington Families Standing Together to examine the petitions for the purpose of pursuing an action pursuant to RCW 29A.72.240, but otherwise restrained the State from releasing copies of referendum petitions until further order of the Court, or September 11, 2009. (Dkt. 59 at 3:1-14.)

On September 10, 2009, this Court issued an Order granting Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction. (Docket No. 63.) ("PI Order") Importantly, for purposes of this request, the Order stated:

Therefore, the Court concludes that Plaintiffs have established that they are likely to succeed on the merits of their claim that the Public Records Act is unconstitutional as applied to the disclosure of referendum petitions. At this time, the Court need not reach the merits of Plaintiffs' second claim for relief.

(PI Order at 16:1-4.)

Plaintiffs now respectfully request that the Court issue an Order with respect to the second, independent ground, asserted in their motion for a preliminary injunction, which asks the Court to find that the Public Records Act is unconstitutional as applied to Referendum 71 because there is a reasonable probability of threats, harassment, and reprisals.

Events subsequent to the September 10, 2009, Order granting Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction.

On September 11, 2009, the State Defendants filed their notice of appeal. (Dkt. 65.) Washington Coalition for Open Government ("WCOG") filed its notice of appeal on September 15, 2009. (Dkt. 67.) On September 22, 2009, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit ("Ninth Circuit") consolidated the appeals of the State and WCOG and set an accelerated

Request to Issue Order re: Alt. Ground Set Forth in Plaintiffs' Mot. for TRO and Prelim. Inj. (No. 3:09-CV-05456-BHS) briefing schedule.1

On October 14, 2009, the Ninth Circuit heard oral arguments. On October 15, 2009, the Ninth Circuit issued a short two paragraph order granting the Appellants' (State Defendants) motion for a stay of the preliminary injunction order pending appeal, effective immediately. (Dkt. 97.) On October 15, Plaintiffs/Appellees filed a motion to reconsider that order in the Ninth Circuit.²

To date, the Ninth Circuit has only stated that the Court based its order granting Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction on "an incorrect legal standard." (Dkt. 97 at 3.)

On October 16, 2009, Plaintiffs/Appellees filed an emergency application to vacate the Ninth Circuit's stay of the preliminary injunction with the Honorable Anthony M. Kennedy, Associate Justice of the United States Supreme Court. On October 19, 2009, Plaintiffs motion for reconsideration pending before the Ninth Circuit was denied in a one sentence order. On October 19, 2009, the Honorable Anthony M. Kennedy granted the application to vacate the Ninth's Circuit's stay until further notice. (See Dkt. 98 ("Notice of Order").)

Plaintiffs respectually request that this Court issue an order regarding the second ground asserted in Plaintiffs' motion for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction.

At this time, the parties cannot know the extent of the Ninth Circuit's order. Because this Court ruled only with respect to the first ground asserted in Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction, (PI Order at 16:1-4), it is possible that the Ninth Circuit could remand the case back

¹ Intervenor Defendant Washington Families Standing Together filed its notice of appeal on September 28, 2009. (Dkt. 82.) The appeal was subsequently consolidated with the other appeals. Washington Families Standing together joined in the briefing submitted by the State Defendants and WCOG and waived their right to file their own merits briefing.

² A true and correct copy is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

³ Pursuant to an Order form the Honorable Anthony M. Kennedy, the State Defendants and WCOG filed a response on Monday, October 19, 2009. WAFST has not responded to the emergency application.

⁴ Counsel have been informed that the Honorable Anthony M. Kennedy has referred the matter to his colleagues. This does not currently affect the Order issued by Justice Kennedy.

	l
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	ı

to this Court with instructions to enter an order with respect to the second claim.⁵ To avoid any undue delay, Plaintiffs believe it would be prudent for this Court to enter an order with respect to the second ground as soon as possible. The issue has been fully briefed and was properly before the Court at the September 3, 2009 hearing. (*See* Dkt. 3 at 18-25 (Pls.' Prelim. Inj. Memo.); Dkt. 25 at 11-15 (Defs.' Opp'n); Dkt. 31 at 8-12 (Pls.' Reply).)

In the event that this Court determines that there is a reasonable probability that the disclosure of the Referendum 71 petitions will result in threats, harassment, and reprisals, it will constitute an independent ground for a preliminary injunction. If the Ninth Circuit opinion does not address this ground, the preliminary injunction would remain in effect and protect Plaintiffs from immediate and irreparable harm to their First Amendment rights. In the event that the Ninth Circuit opinion does address the second ground, an appropriate motion to alter or vacate the order could be made pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(a)(5).

Therefore, to prevent any undue delay, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court enter an Order with respect to second claim asserted in Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction.

Dated this 20th day of October, 2009.

Respectfully submitted,

/s Sarah E. Troupis

James Bopp, Jr. (Ind. Bar No. 2838-84)*
Sarah E. Troupis (Wis. Bar No. 1061515)*
Scott F. Bieniek (Ill. Bar No. 6295901)*
BOPP, COLESON & BOSTROM
1 South Sixth Street
Terre Haute, Indiana 47807-3510

(812) 232-2434 Counsel for All Plaintiffs

*Pro Hac Vice Application Granted

Stephen Pidgeon ATTORNEY AT LAW, P.S. 30002 Colby Avenue, Suite 306 Everett, Washington 98201 (360) 805-6677 Counsel for All Plaintiffs

Request to Issue Order re: Alt. Ground Set Forth in Plaintiffs' Mot. for TRO and Prelim. Inj. (No. 3:09-CV-05456-BHS) BOPP, COLESON & BOSTROM
1 South Sixth Street
Terre Haute, Indiana 47807-3510
(812) 232-2434

⁵ See 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1) ("[T]hecourts of appeals shall have jurisdiction of appeals from interlocutory orders of the district courts of the United States . . . granting, continuing, modifying, refusing or dissolving injunctions"). Because this Court did not enter an Order with respect to the second claim asserted in Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction, it is possible that the Ninth Circuit may find that it does not have jurisdiction to enter an order with respect to that ground, and that the case must be remanded to this Court for such an Order.

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I, Sarah E. Troupis, am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the above-captioned
3	action. My business address is 1 South Sixth Street; Terre Haute, Indiana 47807-3510.
4	On October 20, 2009, I electronically filed the foregoing document described as Request to
5	Issue Order with Respect to the Alternative Ground Set Forth in Plaintiffs' Motion for
6	Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction with the Clerk of Court using the
7	CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to:
8 9	James K. Pharris jamesp@atg.wa.gov Counsel for Defendants Sam Reed and Brenda Galarza
10 11	Steven J. Dixson sjd@wkdtlaw.com Duane M. Swinton dms@wkdtlaw.com
12 13	Leslie R. Weatherhead lwlibertas@aol.com Counsel for Intervenor Washington Coalition for Open Government
14 15 16	Ryan McBrayer rmcbrayer@perkinscoie.com Kevin J. Hamilton khamilton@perkinscoie.com William B. Staffort
17	wstafford@perkinscoie.com Counsel for Intervenor Washington Families Standing Together
18	I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Indiana that the above is
19	true and correct. Executed this 20th day of October, 2009.
20	
21 22	/s Sarah E. Troupis Sarah E. Troupis Counsel for All Plaintiffs
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	Request to Issue Order rev Alt 5 ROPP COLESON & ROSTRO

Request to Issue Order re: Alt. Ground Set Forth in Plaintiffs' Mot. for TRO and Prelim. Inj. (No. 3:09-CV-05456-BHS) BOPP, COLESON & BOSTROM 1 South Sixth Street Terre Haute, Indiana 47807-3510 (812) 232-2434